

1 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
2 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
3 Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
4 Telephone (702) 949-8320

5 Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
6 Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
7 John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
8 Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
9 Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
10 Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

11 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

12 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
13 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

14 In re:

15 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
16 COMPANY,

17 Debtor.

18 Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR

19 Chapter 11

20 **FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
21 OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
22 CLAIM BASED IN PART UPON  
23 INVESTMENT IN FIESTA/USA  
24 STONERIDGE LOAN**

25 **Date of Hearing: August 30, 2011**  
26 **Time of Hearing: 10:30 a.m.**  
27 **Estimated Time for hearing: 10 min.**

28 The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant  
29 to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and  
30 Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an  
31 order disallowing in part the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed  
32 by investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
33 (“USACM”) based upon investments in multiple loans, including a loan to Capital Land  
34 Investors, LLC, (“Borrower”). This loan was sometimes referred to as the “Fiesta/USA  
35 Stoneridge” and that is how the Trust will refer to it here. This Objection is supported by  
36 the Court’s record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in  
37 Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the  
38 Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan. (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

1           THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE  
2           DIRECT LENDERS' RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE FIESTA/USA  
3           STONERIDGE LOAN, TO SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE  
4           SALE OF THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE FIESTA/USA STONERIDGE  
5           LOAN OR TO SHARE IN THE RECOVERY OF ANY FUNDS FROM THE  
6           GUARANTOR FOR THE LOAN.

7           **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

8           **I. BACKGROUND FACTS**

9           **a. The USACM Bankruptcy**

10          On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief  
11 under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as  
12 debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.  
13 Debtor's post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J.  
14 Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief  
15 Restructuring Officer.

16          USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the  
17 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans  
18 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That  
19 business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that  
20 USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as "Direct Lenders"  
21 in USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

22          On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors' Third  
23 Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" as Modified Herein [Docket No.  
24 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this  
25 Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass

1 Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the  
2 Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

3 The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12,  
4 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the  
5 successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of  
6 Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

7 Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District  
8 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*  
9 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP  
10 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan  
11 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those  
12 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase  
13 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of  
14 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

15 Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of  
16 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset  
17 Resolution”) and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset  
18 Resolution as a ‘single purpose entity,’ conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase  
19 Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of  
20 Compass, including the Purchased Assets.” (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is  
21 now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-  
22 RCJ, along with certain affiliates.<sup>1</sup> By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert  
23 C. Jones in the Asset Resolution Case, the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to  
24

25 <sup>1</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP  
26 Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC,  
Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP  
Anchor B SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

1 Cross, FLS. The Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan was among those loans transferred to Cross  
2 FLS Services.

3 The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district  
4 court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information  
5 concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or  
6 their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The Trust has  
7 also been in contact with Cross FLC about certain loans that it is servicing, but Cross FLC  
8 is not servicing the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan. The following is the extent of the  
9 USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the Fiesta/USA  
10 Stoneridge Loan.

11           **b. The Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan**

12           USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding  
13 for an acquisition loan to a borrower identified as "a joint venture between Fiesta  
14 Development, and USA Investment Partners -- an affiliate of USA Capital." A copy of the  
15 Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman  
16 Decl., ¶ 4.) The total loan amount proposed was \$10,000,000.00. *Id.* The loan proceeds  
17 were to be used by Borrower to complete the acquisition of the real property. *Id.* The  
18 Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First Trust Deed Investment" and noted that the  
19 investment would be secured by a first deed of trust on approximately 640 acres located in  
20 Riverside County - just east of Perris, California. *Id.* The Offer Sheet indicated that the  
21 loan to value ratio would be approximately 58% of the current value of the property.<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

22           On September 22, 2003, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including  
23 the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust"  
24 (the "Note") and a Loan Agreement, (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Note and Loan Agreement  
25 provided for a loan in the initial principal amount of \$10,000,000.00. *Id.* The Note was

---

26 <sup>2</sup> The Trustee has been unable to locate an appraisal from 2003 that would support this valuation.

1 secured by a “Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture  
2 Filing” (“Deed of Trust”) that was recorded in the official records of Riverside County,  
3 California on June 22, 2004. (Berman Decl., ¶ 5.) The Offer Sheet indicated that Joe  
4 Milanowski and Tom Hantges would personally guarantee repayment of the loan. The  
5 Trustee cannot locate a signed personal guarantee from either person, however. (Berman  
6 Decl., ¶ 5.)

7 The USACM “Loan Summary” dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows  
8 that Borrower was “Non-performing” on the Note as of July 31, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶  
9 6.) The USACM “Loan Summary” dated February 28, 2007 and filed in this case shows  
10 that Borrower was “Interest Default”. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.)

11 On March 22, 2005, the Borrower made and delivered a Loan Extension Agreement  
12 signed to USACM. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.) Tom Hantges and Joe Milanowski signed the  
13 Loan Extension Agreement as guarantors. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.)

14 On March 29, 2006, the Borrower made and delivered a second Loan Extension  
15 Agreement to USACM. (Berman Decl., ¶ 8.) Tom Hantges and Joe Milanowski signed  
16 the second Loan Extension Agreement as guarantors. (Berman Decl., ¶ 8.)

17 Counsel for the Trust has been in touch with Howard Justice of Debt Acquisition  
18 Company of America (“DACA”) regarding the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan. Mr. Justice  
19 indicated that DACA purchased many Direct Lender interests in the loan. Thus, many of  
20 the Direct Lenders were compensated in this fashion. Further, Mr. Justice explained that  
21 the Promissory Note and Deed of Trust were eventually sold to a third party. Thus,  
22 DACA and the remaining Direct Lenders received the value of the underlying collateral to  
23 the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge loan. According to Mr. Justice, the terms of the sale are  
24 confidential. Although the USACM Trust has determined that the Direct Lenders did  
25 receive compensation on this loan, the USACM Trust has not been able to determine  
26 whether the Direct Lenders were fully compensated.

1                   **c. The Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Claims**

2                   **Exhibit A**, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be  
3 based in whole or in part upon an investment in the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan. (Burr  
4 Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's  
5 address, the total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be  
6 related to an investment in the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan based upon the information  
7 provided by the claimant. (Burr Declaration ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are  
8 referred to hereafter as the "Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Claims." As required by Nevada LR  
9 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in  
10 **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

11                  **II. JURISDICTION**

12                  The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and  
13 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core  
14 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

15                  The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and  
16 Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

17                  **III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

18                  Under the Bankruptcy Code, any claim for which a proof of claim has been filed  
19 will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof  
20 of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and  
21 shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable against the  
22 debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is  
23 contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is  
24 presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof  
25 of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of  
26 persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated*

Case 06-10725-gwz Doc 8686 Entered 07/22/11 14:48:16 Page 7 of 8  
1 *Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P.  
2 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

3 **IV. THE OBJECTION**

4 USA Investment Partners ("USA IP") held an interest in the Borrower and that  
5 interest was disclosed on the Offer Sheet described above and attached as **Exhibit B**. As  
6 such, and unlike many of the other loans brokered by USACM, the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge  
7 Loan was not completely arms' length. However, the Direct Lenders received the first  
8 position interest in the collateral securing the loan as promised by the Offer Sheet. In  
9 addition, the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured  
10 by a lien on real property.

11 Notwithstanding USA IP's involvement in the transaction, the Fiesta/USA  
12 Stoneridge Loan appears to have been a legitimate transaction that was secured by  
13 valuable collateral. Additionally, the Direct Lenders recovered on their "secured" claims  
14 against the borrower (and not USACM) to the extent of the value of that collateral. The  
15 Direct Lenders should not therefore be allowed a general unsecured claim for their  
16 investment in the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge loan.

17 The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have  
18 breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Fiesta/USA  
19 Stoneridge Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the  
20 Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan or take any other action.

21 This objection will only affect the Direct Lenders' proof of claim to the extent that  
22 it is based upon an investment in the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge loan. This objection will not  
23 affect the proof of claim to the extent it is based upon an investment in a different loan.

24  
25  
26

1           V.     CONCLUSION

2           The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against  
3 USACM listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon an investment in the  
4 Fiesta/USA Stoneridge Loan. This objection concerns only the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge  
5 Loan and not any other claims of any of the Direct Lenders. This objection will not affect  
6 the Direct Lenders rights arising out of the Fiesta/USA Stoneridge promissory note and  
7 deed of Trust. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and  
8 proper.

9           Dated: July 22, 2011.

10           LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

11           By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)  
12           Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593  
13           John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)  
14           Marvin Ruth, NV 10979  
15           3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
16           Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
17           E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com  
18           *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

19  
20           Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
21 portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
22 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
23 July 22, 2011 to all parties listed on  
24 Exhibit A attached.

25           LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

26           s/Matt Burns